

Online Comment by User: kbixby

Submitted on: 10/31/2006 2:12:00 PM

Comment Category: General Comments

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Address: , , 98028

Comment:

I-0645-001

I'm concered that just adding more lanes will only be a stop-gap meature and not a real solution to cross-lake traffic needs. Also removing the slight bend near 405 is a total waste of taxpayer money. I'm also very concerned about the impacts it creates on the Arboretum and on Queen City Yacht Club.

I-0645-002

If this project takes away a dock from QCYC there needs to be compensation made by making other land available at/near the club for another dock. This is land that the club would own, not a DNR lease. Simply providing some sort of cash buyout is not desirable either. The club depends on revenue generated from it's mooring members, and attracts new members to itself with the mooring options.

I-0645-001

Comment Summary:

Alternatives Development

Response:

See Section 1.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0645-002

Comment Summary:

Recreational Boating

Response:

See Section 9.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.